



Annex 5 to the Call CLT01 – Selection criteria (incl. the scoring sheet)

1. FORMAL COMPLIANCE CRITERIA

No.	Control question	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
1.	Was the Grant Application delivered by the				
	deadline, using the standard template and				
	method of delivery?				
2.	Are the mandatory attachments attached to				
	the Grant Application?				
3.	Has the applicant submitted the missing				
	information/rectified identified				
	shortcomings within the set deadline? ¹				

2. ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

No.	Control question	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
1.	Are the applicant and its partner(s) eligible?				
2.	Is the monument to be restored listed on the list of prioritised cultural monuments at the time of Call closure ² ?				
3.	Was the maximal and minimal limit of the Grant applied for observed?				

Applications not meeting Formal Compliance Criterion no 1, no 3 or any of the Eligibility Criteria shall be rejected.

¹ Relevant in case the Programme Operator requested the submission of missing information/rectify shortcomings.

² <u>https://www.pamiatky.sk/sk/page/evidencia-narodnych-kulturnych-pamiatok-na-slovensku</u>



3. CONTENT RELATED CRITERIA

No.	Criterion	Description	Range	Score	Comments	
1. Appli	1. Applicant's ability and readiness to implement the project (a total of 14 points)					
1a) The applicant has sufficient administrative capacity and financial resources to implement the project, complying with the Programme Operator's and Programme Partners' requirements for project implementation monitoring.			0 - 3			
 criterio Cor app Cor poi Cor ma cor Cor Cor Cor). Instruction permit was issued praised by the Monuments I instruction project documen ints insent of the Monuments Bo indatory research (architect inpleted – 4 points insent of the Monuments Bo inerwise - 0 points.	tation for completed and the bullet points below were met – 7 ard of the SR with the Intention for Restoration was issued and the ure, heritage) requested by the Monuments Board of the SR was ard of the SR with the Intention for Restoration was issued - 1 point	0-10			
		ribed, outlining individual advertising activities. The project erts and general public (social media etc.)	0-1			
2. Importance of the project and its social and economic contribution (a total of 20 points)						
in the P plans, a has bee is releva relation	roject Application (how the lready agreed needs etc.) (en consulted with the local/ ant to its needs and require	munity needs and justification is sufficiently described and proved e project is "bottom-up" and refers to public opinion, municipal eliminating criterion). The maximum 4 points will get a project that regional community before submitting the Project Application and ements. The project is expected to strengthen the community's crease its interest in local/regional history and strengthen ess.	0 – 4			

GOVERNMENT OFFICE OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC

E





 2b) Current condition, degree of deterioration or threat to the cultural heritage addressed by the project. This criterion is to be evaluated by using the publicly available data in the registry of cultural monument operated by the Monuments Board of the SR, in combination with the assessment provided by the applicant and, if relevant, with the experts' personal knowledge about the state of the property. Serious disrepair or threat of total destruction requiring immediate intervention to avoid 		
 irreparable damage (a single year of non-activity leads to extensive irreversible damage – such as extensive damage to the roofs – massive leakage, serious static impairment, wooden structures affected by fungus, etc.). (5 points) Serious disrepair or extensive damage not requiring immediate intervention (extensive irreversible 	0 – 5	
 damage is not expected despite several years of non-activity). (3 points) Good condition or minor damage. (0 points) 		
2c) The subject-matter of the project is unique or exceptionally authentic. It is exceptional, authentic and unique in terms of the craftsmanship employed, construction and technical solutions, location or similar aspects or has an outstanding scientific value.	0 - 4	
 2d) The project keeps cultural heritage alive and functional and the subject-matter of the project is publicly accessible to the maximum extent possible - (eliminating criterion) (use for cultural and educational activities, leisure time activities, gatherings, innovative presentation of cultural heritage, entrepreneurship enhancing social cohesion, management etc.). Degree of accessibility: Permanently publicly accessible (including online access to digitalised cultural heritage, accessibility for general public or study and research purposes) (for immovable cultural heritage, this means that at least 50% of its square area is permanently accessible to the public) (4 points) Temporarily publicly accessible (one-off events like exhibitions, workshops, concerts, etc.) (2 points) Inaccessible to the public (0 points) 	0-4	
2e) The project aims at maximum accessibility of cultural heritage for physically disabled persons (regarding technical solutions and construction, conservation, etc.).	0-1	
2f) The project provably contributes to job creation (at the local/regional level), or creates conditions for new business opportunities enhancing social coherence.	0-2	
3) Project structure, risks, activities and outcomes (a total of 19 points)		
3a) The structure of the project is clearly defined and individual activities are described, including the order of their implementation. The activities are interrelated (and quantified in the project, with	0-3	
order of their implementation. The activities are interrelated (and quantified in the project, with	0 5	





specification of the budget).		
3b) The proposed project activities are necessary to achieve the result specified in the application. The outcomes of the activities contribute to the achievement of project objectives and are in line with the Culture programme. The method of implementation is efficient in comparison to possible alternative methods/technical solutions - the most effective solution has been chosen.	0-4	
3c) The proposed time schedule of project implementation is clear, realistic, corresponds to the content and order of the implemented activities. The schedule also appropriately reflects other factors, such as climatic conditions, feasibility in terms of time consumption, etc.	0-3	
3d) The logical framework of the project clearly outlines the relevance of the project in relation to the Programme, specifying the indicators and methods of their verification (outcomes, results, objective). The baseline and target indicator values are realistic; the indicators are quantified and objectively measureable in terms of quantity and time. The resources for verification are specified in the project and will be available and appropriate for verification of the baseline and target indicator values. The overall objective of the project is in line with the result/outcome of the Programme.	0-3	
3e) Project risks [eliminating criterion] are clearly defined and relevant, including a proposal of risk elimination measures to be adopted to secure smooth project implementation. The applicant is the owner of the monument and the legal form of the applicant guarantees reimbursement of funds in case of the breach of contract. Fewer points can be awarded in case the monument is owned by a partner. In case the applicant/partner is renting the monument only, even fewer points can be awarded. In case the applicant is a public entity, the term owner shall be understood as a synonym to operator.	0-3	
3f) Energy efficiency and adaptation to climate change aspect are considered within the proposed technologies and solutions of the reconstruction.	0-3	
4) Cost-effectiveness and sustainability (a total of 14 points)		
 4a) Budget: The budget items presented are necessary for the implementation of the project activities and are in line with the project proposal; The units indicated for individual budget items are measurable; The value of budget items amount is adequate and comparable to the costs of other similar projects (for example based on a comparison with similar projects); Unit prices correspond to prices that are usual in the local market. 	0-4	
4b) Value for Money – the total project costs are adequate to the expected results:	0-4	





0-6	
0-3	
0 - 4	
0-6	
0-1	
0.0	
0-6	
	0-3 0-4 0-6





Establishment of residential arts centre		
• Involvement of local craftsmen in the restoration works, e.g. through training in traditional skills in		
restoration and crafts		
• Educational functions, with a specific focus on combating extremism, radicalisation, hate speech		
etc.		
6) Project localisation and networking (a total of 13 points)		
6a) The cultural monument is located in the underdeveloped regions of Slovakia (purely objective		
<mark>criterion)</mark>		
• Located in one of the districts defined as the least developed (Lučenec, Poltár, Revúca, Rimavská		
Sobota, Veľký Krtíš, Kežmarok, Sabinov, Svidník, Vranov nad Topľou, Gelnica, Rožňava, Sobrance,		
Trebišov, Bardejov, Medzilaborce, Košice – okolie, Levoča, Snina, Stropkov, Michalovce). (5 points)	0 – 5	
• Located in other districts of the self-governing regions of Prešov, Košice, Žilina or Banská Bystrica		
(3 points)		
 Located in districts of the self-governing regions of Nitra, Trnava or Trenčín (2 points) 		
 Located in the self-governing region of Bratislava (0 points) 		
6b) The cultural monument is located in a place that is already frequently visited by tourists. There is	0-2	
a wide range of services available nearby.	0-2	
6c) The applicant creates networks with the local players. The applicant proved cooperation and		
networking with local cultural players (meeting the definition of Creative Europe), with local services	0 – 2	
providers and other local players in order to provide a full range of services for the visitors.		
6d) The applicant has provided recommendation letters acknowledging the importance of the		
project by the following institutions:	0-4	
 Monuments Board of the Slovak Republic (3 points) 		
Self-governing region and/or local municipality (1 point)		
Total score (of maximum 100 points)		
Recommendation		Recommended / Not recommended
Substantive comments		





Eliminating criteria are considered as being of special importance as regards the successful implementation and timely completion of the project. The Selection Committee shall be notified and may recommend special measures to be taken in order to mitigate the risk, incl. the recommendation not to support the project.

Purely objective criteria are criteria that must be, under normal circumstances, scored the same by the independent experts. The Programme Operator may ask the independent experts for clarification in case the score awarded for this criterion differs.

Projects receiving less than 60 points (in average) shall not be supported.