

Annex 4 to the Call DGV03 – Selection criteria (incl. the scoring sheet)

1. FORMAL COMPLIANCE CRITERIA

No.	Control question	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
1.	Was the Project Application delivered by the deadline, using the standard template and method of delivery?			-	
2.	Are the mandatory attachments attached to the Project Application?			-	
3.	Has the applicant submitted the missing information/rectified identified shortcomings within the set deadline? ¹				

2. ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

No.	Control question	Yes	No	Comments
1.	Are the applicant and its partner(s) eligible?			
2.	Is at least one of the project partners or the applicant a counselling centre or a shelter meeting the required standards ^{2, 3} ?			
3.	Was the maximum and minimum limit of the Grant applied for complied?			

Project Applications not meeting Formal Compliance Criterion no 1, no 3 or any of the Eligibility Criteria shall be rejected.

¹ Relevant in case the Programme Operator requested the submission of missing information/rectify shortcomings.

² This includes standards set out in in the Council of Europe document "Combating Violence against Women: Minimum Standards for Support Services", which are adapted to the conditions of the Slovak Republic by the Coordinating Methodical Centre (the required standards are hereinafter referred to as **European Standards**).

³ An informative list of social services providers meeting the required European standards is listed in Annex 5 of this Call.

3. CONTENT RELATED CRITERIA

No.	Criterion	Description	Range	Score	Comments
1. Importance of the project and its contribution to the issue (a total of 70 points)					
1a)	The Project Application shows that the applicant and its partner(s), if relevant:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> are strongly motivated to help women victims of violence and their children (0 – 10) and committed to adhere to the European Standards (0 - 5) they have solid knowledge of the European Standards (0 - 5) 	0 – 20		
1b)	The organisation running or creating the shelter and/or counselling centre:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> has strong leader, partner or guarantor respected in field of Domestic and Gender-based Violence (0 - 10) has a strong and solid background regarding the social area, mainly social services provision and connection with the domestic and gender-based violence (0 - 5) already has a certain history in providing services to women victims of violence and their children (0 – 5) 	0 – 20		
1c)	The number of counselling centres or shelters supported within the project in total is:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2 or more – 3 points 1 – 0 points 	0 – 5		
If counselling centre and shelter are supported at the same time within the project, even if they					

are operated by the same provider of social services, two (2) additional points shall be awarded.			
<p>1d) The project intends to support building and/or expanding of existing network of social service providers – shelters for victims of domestic and gender-based violence:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> in critical districts where the need of shelter places is very high (BB, ZV, ZH, VK, RA, PK, SC, KE, SO, RV, SN, GL, KN, NZ, LV, DS, SL, LE, VT, BJ, SB, SK, SV, SP, ML, HE) – 5 points in less covered districts, where the need of shelter places is at more than an average level (DT, BS, MI, ZM, TO, SA, TO, PN, PP, KK, BN, IL, PU, GA, LM, NO, TS, KM, RK, TR) – 4 points in relatively covered districts, where the need of shelter places is at the average level (LC, RS, BR, PD, PO, NM, PD, PE, MY, SE, TT, HC, SI, MY, PB, DK and BY) – 2 points in covered districts, where the need of shelter places is saturated (KA, BA, MA, TV, NR, PO, TN, ZA, MT and CA) – 1 point 	0 – 5		
<p>1e) The project intends to support building and expanding of existing network of social service providers – counselling centres for victims of domestic and gender-based violence:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> in a district where a counselling centre is already operating – 3 points in a district where no counselling centres have been operating yet – 5 points <p>use the social service providers map for evaluation (annex 6 of the Call)</p>	0 – 5		
<p>1f) The service provider (shelter/CC) plans to provide specialised services for women and children in line with the <i>Special conditions for the provision of the project grant for social services providers meeting the required European standards</i> listed in Annex 3 to the Call:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> crisis intervention – 1 point (eliminating criterion) accommodation – 1 point (eliminating criterion in case of shelters, for counselling centre, 1 point shall be automatically awarded) field crisis intervention (in case of shelters) or psychological counselling (in case of counselling centres) – 1 point (eliminating criterion) 	0 – 7		

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> social counselling - 1 point (eliminating criterion), specialized social counselling or safety management - 1 point (eliminating criterion) assistance in claiming rights and legitimate claim - 1 point (eliminating criterion) support services for children, with psychological care and special pedagogical care required as a minimum - 1 point (eliminating criterion) 			
<p>1g) The project includes providing adequate services for children victims of domestic and gender-based violence by: (eliminating criterion)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> providing specialised services for children of women experiencing violence (psychological counselling, specialised-pedagogical care etc.) – 4 points elaborated methods of support, protection and work with these children – 2 points supporting services for children are secured by specialised employees (max 1 employee for 10 children) – 2 points 	0 – 8		
2) Project structure, risks, activities and outcomes (a total of 12 points)			
<p>2a) The proposed time schedule for project implementation is clear, realistic and corresponds to the content and needs of the project - it clearly defines the process of implementation of individual activities and outcomes.</p>	0 - 3		
<p>2b) The logical framework of the project clearly outlines the relevance of the project in relation to the Programme, specifying the indicators and methods of their verification (outcomes, outputs, objectives). The structure of the project, activities, outputs and outcomes is clearly defined. The activities are interconnected.</p>	0 – 2		
<p>2c) The overall objective of the project is in line with the result / outcome of the Programme. The baseline and target indicator values are realistic; the indicators are quantifiable and objectively measurable in terms of quantity and time. The resources for verification are specified in the project and will be available and appropriate for verification of the baseline and target indicator</p>	0 – 2		

values.			
<p>2d) Project risks are clearly defined and relevant, including a proposal of risk elimination and mitigation measures.</p> <p>The risk connected with the potential situation when the COVID-19 pandemic will still not be over at the time of project implementation has been duly taken into account during the project development and the ways in which the project will mitigate the risks related to it have been appropriately considered as a part of the project risks.</p>	0 - 3		
2e) Project publicity is suitably set up, with a communication strategy and an adequate budget.	0 - 2		
3) Cost-effectiveness and sustainability (a total of 12 points)			
3a) The detailed budget is indicated for the entire project period. The indicated budget items are necessary for the implementation of the project activities and the indicated amounts are reasonable. Unit prices are adequate and correspond to prices that are usual in the local market.	0 - 4		
3b) Total project costs are adequate to the expected results.	0 - 4		
3c) The proposed activities have the potential for long-term contribution and development of further activities.	0 - 4		
4) Specific requirements (a total of 6 points)			
<p>The project contributes to strengthening of bilateral cooperation with Norwegian partners:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the cooperation also includes a bilateral exchange of experts in the field of child victims of violence (0 - 2 points) the cooperation also includes a seminar focused on sharing experiences with the provision of services to minorities (0 - 2 points) 	0 - 6		

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • bilateral cooperation will continue after project completion - throughout the sustainability period - (0 - 2 points) 			
Total score (of maximum 100 points)			
Recommendation		Recommended / Not recommended	
Substantive comments			

Eliminating criteria are considered as being of special importance as regards the successful implementation and timely completion of the project. The Selection Committee shall be notified and may recommend special measures to be taken in order to mitigate the risk, incl. the recommendation not to support the project.

Purely objective criteria are criteria that must be, under normal circumstances, scored the same by the independent experts. The Programme Operator may ask the independent experts for clarification in case the score awarded for this criterion differs.

Projects receiving less than 60 points (in average) shall not be supported.